



BAREM DE CRITERIS ESPECÍFICS

BAREMO DE CRITERIOS ESPECIFICOS

SPECIFIC CRITERIA AND SCALE

Dades de la plaça/ces/ *Datos de la plaza/s / Details of position(s):*

Categoria/ *Categoría/ Category:* Lector/a

Departament / *Departamento / Department:* Història Econòmica, Institucions, Política i Economia Mundial

Facultat / *Facultad / Faculty:* Facultat d'Economia i Empresa

Núm. de places i codi/s: / *Núm. de plazas y código/s / No. of places and code(s):* 1 UB-LE-7002

After the constitution of the Selection Committee, we have agreed the following specific assessment criteria for the second evaluation phase that establish a minimum score of 50 out of 100 points:

I. RESEARCH MERITS: Quality and dissemination of the results of the research activity	70.00
A. International publications	35.00
A1. Scientific publications indexed and h-index impact factor (JCR, Scopus & Google)	25.00
A2. Books and chapter books	10.00
B. Stays in different international research centres	15.00
C. Participation in competitive research projects	5.00
D. Scientific dissemination and knowledge transfer	5.00
E. Presentations and active participation in scientific conferences	5.00
F. Lectures and seminars taught at internationally relevant research centres	5.00
II. TEACHING MERITS	25.00
G. Teaching dedication	22.00
G.1. University teaching experience (at master or degree level)	10.00
G2. PhD Theses supervision and PhD examination committees	7.00
G3. Master Theses supervised	5.00
I. Quality of teaching experience	3.00
I1. Evaluation of teaching	1.50
I2. Presentations in teaching innovation conferences, workshops or seminars	1.50
III. OTHER MERITS	5.00
J1. Awards	2.00
J2. Experience in university, scientific or dissemination management activities	1.50
 J3. Other (reviewer and advisory activities, etc.)	1.50
TOTAL EVALUATION SCORE OF THE CANDIDATE	100.00

Barcelona, 28 d / de / of Octubre / October de / , 2019

Enric Tello Aragay
SECRETARI/ÀRIA
SECRETARIO/A



SECRETARY

Conformity emails received, after sending signed the declarations of absence of conflict of interest by all the members, accepting the constitution of the Selection Commission, the specific evaluation criteria for the two evaluation phases, and the date proposed for the interview with the candidate:

Davide Castellani <davide.castellani@henley.ac.uk>

Dt. 8/10/2019 14:24

Dear Enric,

Thank you for this information and for preparing the assessment criteria. I have no objection on the list of items and weighting that you propose, which seem sensible to me for a position in Applied Economics.

As for the dates of the interview, the last week of November and the first week of December are not the best time for me, since I teach and have other commitments in Reading, but I could come to Barcelona on 27/11, 29/11 or 5/12. I could also make 28/11, but I would have to move a lecture, so that would not be ideal.

Best wishes,

Davide Castellani

Jolanda Hessels <hessels@ese.eur.nl>

Dt. 8/10/2019 21:20

Dear Enrique,

I am fine with the weighting that you indicated.
29 November fits my agenda (as would 2-3 December).

Kind regards,

Jolanda

Andrew Newell <a.t.newell@sussex.ac.uk>

Dc. 9/10/2019 9:42

Dear Enrique, I could fairly easily fit in with others for those weeks, but I have a preference for Mondays, Tuesdays and Fridays. But it is more important to find a common date, like Friday 29 November. I have looked at the criteria with interest. I have no problem working with Enrique's suggestion.

Best
Andrew

El dc, 9 oct 2019 a les 18:06 Enrique Tello Aragay <tello@ub.edu> va escriure:

Dear all,

Thank you very much for your quick responses. According to them, the Friday 29th of November seems to fit to everybody preferences except, perhaps, the ones of Jacint Jordana who prefers the first days of December to the last days of November.



So Jacint, could you manage to also come to Barcelona on Friday 29th of November?

Enric Tello

JORDANA CASAJUANA, Jacint <jacint.jordana@upf.edu>

Dc. 9/10/2019 18:40

Dear all,

I can accomodate to the 29th Nov, no problem.

Best

Jacint

Contra aquest acord de la comissió, que no esgota la via administrativa i és un acte de tràmit, és aplicable l'establert per l'article 112 de la Llei 39/2015, d'1 d'octubre, de procediment administratiu comú de les Administracions Públiques, segons el qual contra les resolucions i els actes de tràmit, si aquests últims decideixen directament o indirectament el fons de l'afer, determinen la impossibilitat de continuar el procediment, produeixen indefensió o perjudici irreparable a drets i interessos legítims, els interessats poden interposar recurs d'alçada, en aquest cas davant el rector, en el termini d'un mes, a comptar de l'endemà de la seva publicació, d'acord amb el que estableixen els articles 121 i 122 de la Llei 39/2015, d'1 d'octubre, del procediment administratiu comú de les administracions públiques.

Contra este acuerdo de la comisión, que no agota la vía administrativa y es un acto de trámite, es aplicable lo establecido por el artículo 112 de la Ley 39/2015, de 1 de octubre, de procedimiento administrativo común de las Administraciones Públicas, según el cual contra las resoluciones y los actos de trámite, si estos últimos deciden directamente o indirectamente el fondo del asunto, determinan la imposibilidad de continuar el procedimiento, producen indefensión o perjuicio irreparable a derechos e intereses legítimos, los interesados pueden interponer recurso de alzada, en este caso ante el rector, en el plazo de un mes, a contar a partir del día siguiente de su publicación, de acuerdo lo que establecen los artículos 121 y 122 de la Ley 39/2015, de 1 de octubre, del procedimiento administrativo común de las administraciones públicas.

*This decision of the Committee is an act of procedural law and does not exhaust your right of appeal through administrative channels. Article 112 of Law 39 of 1 October 2015 on the legal framework governing public administrations and common administrative procedures allows you to appeal when resolutions and acts of procedural law directly or indirectly affect the basis of the legal process underway, obstruct that process, or undermine or cause irreparable harm to legitimate rights and interests. Under such circumstances, you may present a request for further review, in this case by the Rector, within one month from the day after the publication of the Committee's decision, pursuant to *articles 121 and 122* of Law 39 of 1 October 2015 on the legal framework governing public administrations and common administrative procedures.*